

Voting is dishonest & fraudulent

Robert S. Borden, M.D.
North Main St.

Friday, 24 Sep 1976^[1]

Perhaps the people are grasping reality. In spite of indoctrination we have been subjected to via our schools, media, government, and others who wish to maintain the status quo, i.e. *the few* controlling, and living at the expense of, *the many*. This country was founded on the principle of individual rights to life, liberty, and property which are inherent in the nature of man. Yet today most laws, regulations, and taxes are clear violations of the rights of the *individual* in favor of *the group, society, government, the collective*. Is not forced busing the result of forced schooling? Isn't this a violation of individual rights?

If government derives its powers from the governed, how can it be "legal" for government to do things that are "illegal" for the individual? Consider gambling. Will the state soon start selling drugs to raise "needed revenue"? Why not? Some states sell or control and tax alcohol! This is for the good of the people. Right?

Has it ever dawned on the editors that the attitudes of the 70 million projected non-voters may be very consistent with the reality that the concept of voting and electing representatives is basically dishonest and fraudulent? If voting could change anything it would be made illegal! There is no way any politician can legally represent anyone because he was elected on a secret ballot by a small percentage of voters. He then claims to represent the people who voted against him and even those who wisely chose not to participate in such criminal activity.

You say those 70 million stay-at-homes will forfeit their right to complain about the way they are governed. Being enlightened, they are perhaps saying they do not want any part of a system where coercion in the form of taxation – which is the taking of property allegedly being protected and war – which is nothing less than murder – is the sole means of its existence.

If you vote and are in the majority you violate the rights of the minority, or vice versa. The implication is "might makes right". If you vote you are implicitly agreeing to play the coercion game and must accept the results. If a person doesn't vote or otherwise participate in the aggression of government

they are on very sound, consistent moral grounds as they attempt to live in peace and liberty. Which are you for, individual freedom, or the tyranny of a dictator, the majority, or so-called law? I think it is time the media made it explicitly clear where they stand. We cannot be part slave and free at the same time. It is amazing how many humans will accept almost anything and even adjust to living in chains if told to do so. By its very nature politics is corrupt. Let us not be beguiled into going along with the establishment. Let us show then we are aware and demand our freedom by not voting or otherwise sanctioning aggression. The coercive society can only exist with the sanction of us, its victims. If we want liberty and peace we must not attempt to shun responsibility by voting while politicians plunder us.

References

- [1] The Lowell Sun (Lowell, Massachusetts) on Friday, 24 Sep 1976